1 2 3 4 5	Robert W. Zickert, Esq. State Bar No. 106510 LAW OFFICE OF ROBERT W. ZICKERT 444 West "C" Street, Suite 200 San Diego, California 92101 Telephone: (619) 685-6888 Facsimile: (619) 544-1961 rzickert@aol.com Attorney for Defendants, STONECREST GAS & WASH, INC. and STONECREST PLAZA, LLC	
7 8	UNITED STATES I	DISTRICT COURT
9	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	CHRIS KOHLER,	CASE NO. 08-CV-0105 L NLS
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.)	DEFENDANTS, STONECREST GAS & WASH, INC. dba STONECREST
14 15 16 17 18	STONECREST GAS & WASH, INC. dba) STONECREST SHELL; STONECREST PLAZA, LLC; BARRY JAY STONE; STONECREST SQUARE AUTO CENTER, LLC; VINCENT MANNO, TRUSTEE of) the VINCENT D. MANNO TRUST AGREEMENT DATED APRIL 23, 1991; CAROL ANN CARLETON, TRUSTEE of) the CAROL A. CARLETON TRUST AGREEMENT DATED MAY 2, 1991;	SHELL AND STONECREST PLAZA, LLC'S, ANSWER TO THE CIVIL COMPLAINT
19 20	FILOMENA R. BUCKINGHAM, TRUSTEE) of the CAROL A. CARLETON TRUST) AGREEMENT DATED MAY 2, 1991;)	
21	AMELIA M. LUCAS, TRUSTEE of the) CAROL A. CARLETON TRUST	
22	AGREEMENT DATED MAY 2, 1991;) CAROL ANN CARLETON, TRUSTEE of)	
23	the FILOMENA R. BUCKINGHAM) TRUST AGREEMENT DATED MAY 2,) 1991; FILOMENA R. BUCKINGHAM,)	
24	TRUSTEE of the FILOMENA R.) BUCKINGHAM TRUST AGREEMENT)	
25	DATED MAY 2, 1991; AMELIA M.) LUCAS, TRUSTEE of the FILOMENA)	
26	R. BUCKINGHAM TRUST AGREEMENT) DATED MAY 2, 1991; CAROL ANN)	
27	CARLETON, TRUSTEE of the) FILOMENA R. BUCKINGHAM TRUST)	
28	AGREEMENT DATED MAY 2, 1991;)	

FILOMENA R. BUCKINGHAM, TRUSTEE)
of the AMELIA M. LUCAS TRUST
AGREEMENT DATED MAY 2, 1991;
AMELIA M. LUCAS, TRUSTEE of the)
AMELIA M. LUCAS TRUST AGREEMENT)
DATED MAY 2, 1991; LARRY M.
LUCAS, TRUSTEE of the LUCAS
FAMILY TRUST U/D/T DATED
JANUARY 22, 1991; AMELIA M.
LUCAS, TRUSTEE of the LUCAS
FAMILY TRUST U/D/T DATED
JANUARY 22, 1991,

Defendants.

COME NOW Defendants, STONECREST GAS & WASH, INC. dba STONECREST SHELL and STONECREST PLAZA, LLC, and severing themselves from the other defendants and in answer to the Plaintiff's Civil Complaint on file herein, and each claim contained therein, admit, deny and allege as follows.

I. GENERAL ("Summary") ALLEGATIONS

1. In response to paragraphs 1 and 2 of the General Allegations contained in the Civil Complaint, these answering Defendants deny each and every allegation contained therein.

II. <u>JURISDICTION</u>

2. In response to paragraphs 3, 4 and 5 of the Civil Complaint, these answering Defendants admit that the Court has original jurisdiction of this matter.

III. VENUE

3. In response to paragraph 6 of the Civil Complaint, these answering Defendants admit each and every allegation contained therein.

8 | ///

///

IV. PARTIES

4. In response to paragraphs 7 and 8 of the Civil Complaint, these answering Defendants deny the allegations contained therein.

V. <u>FACTS</u>

- 6. In response to paragraph 9 of the Civil Complaint, these answering Defendants admit that the building, structure, facility, complex, property, land, development and/or surrounding business complex identified in paragraph 1 of the Civil Complaint as "the Gas Station" is open to the public.
- 7. In response to paragraphs 13, 14 and 15 of the Civil Complaint, these answering Defendants deny the allegations contained therein.
- 8. In response to paragraphs 10, 11 and 12 of the Civil Complaint, these answering Defendants deny having sufficient knowledge and information to form a belief as to those allegations and, as such, hereby deny said allegations.

CLAIMS

VI. FIRST CLAIM: AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT OF 1990 Denial of "Full and Equal" Enjoyment and Use

- 9. In response to paragraph 16 of the Civil Complaint, these answering Defendants reallege and incorporate their responses to the General ("Summary") Allegations, Jurisdiction, Venue, Parties and Facts, Sections I through V of the Civil Complaint, and paragraphs 1-8 above, as though set forth in full herein.
- 10. These answering Defendants deny having sufficient knowledge and information to form a belief as to the allegations contained in paragraphs 17 and 18 of the Civil Complaint, and, as

such, hereby deny said allegations.

Failure to Remove Architectural Barriers in Existing Facility

11. These answering Defendants deny having sufficient knowledge and information to form a belief as to the allegations contained in paragraphs 19, 20, 21 and 22 of the Civil Complaint, and, as such, hereby deny said allegations.

Failure to Design and Construct an Accessible Facility

12. These answering Defendants deny having sufficient knowledge and information to form a belief as to the allegations contained in paragraphs 23, 24 and 25 of the Civil Complaint, and, as such, hereby deny said allegations.

Failure to Design and Construct an Accessible Facility

13. These answering Defendants deny having sufficient knowledge and information to form a belief as to the allegations contained in paragraphs 23, 24 and 25 of the Civil Complaint, and, as such, hereby deny said allegations.

Failure to Make an Altered Facility Accessible

14. These answering Defendants deny having sufficient knowledge and information to form a belief as to the allegations contained in paragraphs 26, 27 and 28 of the Civil Complaint, and, as such, hereby deny said allegations.

Failure to Modify Existing Policies and Procedures

15. These answering Defendants deny having sufficient knowledge and information to form a belief as to the allegations contained in paragraphs 29, 30, 31 and 32 of the Civil Complaint, and, as such, hereby deny said allegations.

28 | ///

VII. SECOND CLAIM: DISABLED PERSONS ACT

- 16. In response to paragraph 33 of the Civil Complaint, these answering Defendants reallege and incorporate their responses to the General ("Summary") Allegations, Jurisdiction, Venue, Parties and Facts, and First Claim, Sections I through VI of the Civil Complaint, and paragraphs 1-15 above, as though set forth in full herein.
- 17. These answering Defendants deny having sufficient knowledge and information to form a belief as to the allegations contained in paragraphs 34, 35, 36, 37, 38 and 39 of the Civil Complaint, and, as such, hereby deny said allegations.

VIII. THIRD CLAIM: UNRUH CIVIL RIGHTS ACT

- 18. In response to paragraph 40 of the Civil Complaint, these answering Defendants reallege and incorporate their responses to the General ("Summary") Allegations, Jurisdiction, Venue, Parties and Facts, First Claim and Second Claim, Sections I through VII of the Civil Complaint, and paragraphs 1-17 above, as though set forth in full herein.
- 19. These answering Defendants deny having sufficient knowledge and information to form a belief as to the allegations contained in paragraphs 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46 and 47 of the Civil Complaint, and, as such, hereby deny said allegations.

IX. FOURTH CLAIM: DENIAL OF FULL AND EQUAL ACCESS TO PUBLIC FACILITIES

20. In response to paragraph 48 of the Civil Complaint, these answering Defendants reallege and incorporate their responses to the General ("Summary") Allegations, Jurisdiction, Venue, Parties and Facts, First Claim, Second Claim and Third Claim, Sections I

through VIII of the Civil Complaint, and paragraphs 1-19 above, as though set forth in full herein.

21. These answering Defendants deny having sufficient knowledge and information to form a belief as to the allegations contained in paragraphs 49, 50, 51 and 52 of the Civil Complaint, and, as such, hereby deny said allegations.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

These answering Defendants affirmatively allege as follows:

FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As a first separate and affirmative defense to the Plaintiff's Civil Complaint, these answering Defendants allege that the Civil Complaint on file herein fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted against these answering Defendants.

SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As a second separate and affirmative defense to the Plaintiff's Civil Complaint, these answering Defendants allege that the Civil Complaint on file herein is barred by the applicable statute of limitations, including, but not limited to, Code of Civil Procedure section 338.

THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As a third separate and affirmative defense to the Plaintiff's Civil Complaint, these answering Defendants alleges that they did not engage in discriminatory conduct based on the alleged disability of an individual which deprived said individual of full and equal enjoyment of goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations of any place of public accommodation.

1//

2.0

FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As a fourth separate and affirmative defense to the Plaintiff's Civil Complaint, these answering Defendants allege that if any violations exist, which these answering Defendants adamantly deny, taking any steps to remedy said violations could only be accomplished with significant difficulty and expense thereby resulting in an "undue burden" to these answering Defendants [42 USC § 12182(b)(2)(A)(iii)].

FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As a fifth separate and affirmative defense to the Plaintiff's Civil Complaint, these answering Defendants allege that if any violations exist, which these answering Defendants adamantly deny, when balancing the economic concerns of the businesses, STONECREST GAS & WASH, INC. and STONECREST PLAZA, LLC, with the rights of the individual, the removal of said violations are not "readily achievable" [42 USC §12182(b)(2)(A)(iv)].

SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As a sixth separate and affirmative defense to the Plaintiff's Civil Complaint, these answering Defendants allege that less accessibility is permitted for retrofitted structures than is required for construction or alterations commencing after January 26, 1992.

SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As a seventh separate and affirmative defense to the Plaintiff's Civil Complaint, these answering Defendants allege that the Plaintiff has failed to exhaust all State Court Administrative Remedies as required by 42 U.S.C. §2000 a-3(a), thereby subjecting the Civil Complaint to a Motion to Dismiss.

EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As an eighth separate and affirmative defense to the Plaintiff's Civil Complaint, these answering Defendants allege that they employed good faith efforts to comply with the Americans With Disabilities Act.

NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As a ninth separate and affirmative defense to the Plaintiff's Civil Complaint, these answering Defendants allege that the Plaintiff and Plaintiff's counsel are barred from relief sought in the Civil Complaint by the doctrine of unclean hands.

TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As a tenth separate and affirmative defense to the Plaintiff's Civil Complaint, these answering Defendants allege that there has been no violation of the Unruh Civil Rights Act, in that the Act does not require any construction, alteration, repair (structural or otherwise) or modification of any sort whatsoever to any existing establishment or building or any other structure (Civil Code section 51).

ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As an eleventh separate and affirmative defense to the Plaintiff's Civil Complaint, these answering Defendants allege that at the time and place of the alleged failure to make the facility accessible, any resultant injuries sustained by the Plaintiff, if any, or damages, if any, were proximately contributed to and caused by the failure of the Plaintiff to exercise proper and/or ordinary care, caution or prudence on his own behalf at the time of said incident, and said failure on Plaintiff's part bars or diminished Plaintiff's recovery herein.

TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As a twelfth separate and affirmative defense to the Plaintiff's Civil Complaint, these answering Defendants allege that at all times mentioned herein, Plaintiff failed to use reasonable care to reduce and minimize as much as reasonably possible his damages, if any, and that said failure was the direct and proximate cause of any and all damage sustained by Plaintiff, if any, and therefore, these answering Defendants are not liable to the Plaintiff for damages.

THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As a thirteenth separate and affirmative defense to the Plaintiff's Civil Complaint, these answering Defendants allege that if Plaintiff suffered or sustained any damages alleged in the Civil Complaint, those damages were proximately caused and contributed to by persons other than these answering Defendants, and liability for all responsible parties, named or unnamed, should be apportioned according to the relative degrees of fault and the liability of these answering Defendants should be reduced accordingly.

FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As a fourteenth separate and affirmative defense to the Plaintiff's Civil Complaint, these answering Defendants allege and asserts the Fair Responsibility Act of 1986, codified at Civil Code section 1431-1431.5, limits specified damages recoverable by Plaintiff against this answering Defendant to that portion of Plaintiff's damages which are attributable to these answering Defendants' percentage of fault or liability, if any.

///

FIFTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As a fifteenth separate and affirmative defense to the Plaintiff's Civil Complaint, these answering Defendants are informed and believe and based thereon allege that Plaintiff has no standing to file this lawsuit, as he is not a resident of San Diego County.

SIXTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

These answering Defendants presently have insufficient knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to whether they may have additional, as yet unstated affirmative defenses. These answering Defendants herein expressly reserve the right to amend their Answer, upon application to the Court or stipulation of the parties, to assert additional affirmative defenses in the event discovery indicates it would be appropriate.

WHEREFORE, these answering Defendant pray for judgment against Plaintiff herein as follows:

- (1) That the Plaintiff take nothing by reason of his Civil Complaint;
- (2) For equitable contribution by any Defendants or Counter-Defendants, and each of them, on the basis of an apportionment of their respective degrees of legally responsible conduct toward satisfaction of any award or judgment obtained by the Plaintiff;
- (3) That these answering Defendants be awarded their attorney's fees and costs of suit incurred herein; and

28 | ///

1	(4) For such other and further relief as the court may deem	
2	just and proper.	
3		
4	DATED: February 19, 2008 LAW OFFICE OF ROBERT W. ZICKERT	
5		
6		
7	By D. S.	
8	Robert W. Zickert, Esq. Attorney for Befendants,	
9	STONECREST GAS & WASH, INC. and STONECREST PLAZA, LLC	
10	,	
11	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	
12	I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing DEFENDANTS , STONECREST GAS & WASH, INC. dba STONECREST SHELL AND STONECREST	
13	PLAZA, LLC'S, ANSWER TO THE CIVIL COMPLAINT was this date served upon all counsel of record by placing a copy of the same in the	
14	United States Mail, postage prepaid, and sent to their last known address as follows:	
15	Lynn Hubbard, III, Esq. Attorneys for Plaintiff,	
16	Lynn Hubbard, III, Esq. Attorneys for Plaintiff, Scott Lynn J. Hubbard, IV, Esq. CHRIS KOHLER Disabled Advocacy Group, APLC	
17	12 Williamsburg Lane Chico, CA 95926	
18	Telephone: (530) 895-3252 Facsimile: (530) 894-8244	
19	racsimile. (330) 034 0244	
20	Executed at San Diego, California this 19th day of February, 2008.	
21	in a service of	
22	Norma Vincent 444 W. "C" St., Ste. 200	
23	San Diego, CA 92101	
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		